Interview Summary	10/524,669	HENNIGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan Crepeau	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Jonathan Crepeau</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Harris Pitlick</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>07 January</u> 2009 .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	·]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: _all_rerected	,	
Identification of prior art discussed:all_appl'ed		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Afterney + Examiner discussed ways of overcoming the rejection. In particular, the acid of feath was discussed.		
•		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT' ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		·
	Donath Con	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100106